

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,498	12/27/2001		D.C. John Ta	21986	8408
24932	7590	09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
LAUBSCHI	ER SEVI	ERSON		PHAM, TIT	O QUANG
1160 SPA RI)				
SUITE 2B				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANNIADOLIS MD 21402				2667	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			811
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/033,498	TA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Tito Pham	2667	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ▼ TI 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12/27/01 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the] accepted or b)⊠ objecte he drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawir	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: trailer 130 in Figure 1. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: item 732 in Figure 7. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet"

Art Unit: 2667

or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lor et al.
 - Regarding claims 1 and 6, Lor et al. discloses a data network node processing packets carrying voice payloads (Figure 14) comprising: a plurality of physical interfaces, inherently at least one filter mask specifying bit values and bit locations within one selected portion of the packet (Figure 15) (page 32 lines 24-31, page 34 lines 25-27), a bit mask comparator for comparing the selected portion of the packet to determine whether the packet carries a voice payload (claim 1 and 3).

Art Unit: 2667

- With respect to claims 5 and 10, Lor et al. reveals the selected portion includes at least the first 64 bytes of the packet (page 32 lines 24-26).

Regarding claim 11, Lor et al. discloses a method of processing packet carrying a voice payload comprising the steps of: buffering a received packet in an input buffer which is inherent in network node, comparing at least one selected portion of the packet with a selected bit mask (claim 3), and determining whether the packet carries voice payload (claim 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lor et al.

Art Unit: 2667

With respect to claims 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, and 9, Lor et al. does not specifically show at least a bit mask capable of specifying bit locations of bits making up a "context switching header", bit locations of available spare bits, and bit locations within the selected portion of packet. However, Lor et al. discloses the FFP (Figure 15) as a programmable engine where the filter masks can be constructed based on packet types and conditions (Figure 17) (page 36 lines 6-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to program the information above in the bit mask in order to identify and process packets appropriately.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Lor et al. reveal a method of processing packet carrying voice payload. Lor et al. does not specifically disclose the method of selectively extracting the "context switching header" and the voice payload upon determining the packet is a voice packet. However, Lor et al. discloses that filters are used to "trap and prioritize" VoIP to reduce latency (page 32 line 28-31). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the "context switching header" extracted and examined to determine the priority of the voice packet, and to have its voice payload processed accordingly.

Art Unit: 2667

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lor et al. discloses a data switch for network communication that includes an access unit filtering incoming data and selectively applying a set of filter rules.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tito Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-8617. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE